Appendix no. 3

Tree Evaluation Method for Preservation Orders (TEMPO)

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

	SURVEY DATA	SHEET & DECISION GUIDE
Date: 2	7 1510 Surveyor: HH	
Tree detail TPO Ref: Owner (if I Location:	472(2010) Tr	ee/Group No: Species: CXXXXZ TI+TZ, Hawthon X4
a) Condition	nity assessment & suitability for TPO: lance Note for definitions	
5) Good (3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe 0) Dead	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes 3) No direct access to Grees,
	g longevity (in years) & suitability cies Guide' section in Guidance Note	
5) Very large 4) Large trees 3) Medium trees 2) Small trees 1) Young, v. s d) Other fact Trees must ha	trees, or large trees that are prominer, or medium trees clearly visible to trees, or larger trees with limited views, or larger trees visible only with difficult, or trees not visible to the public	th changed land use; refer to Guidance Note Interpretation of the changed land use; refer to Guidance Note Interpretation of the changed land use; refer to Guidance Note Interpretation of the changed land use; refer to Guidance Note Score & Notes Score & Notes Clearly see to Clearly s
4) Members o 3) Trees with 2) Trees of pa	of groups of trees important for their identifiable historic, commemorative rticularly good form, especially if ra none of the above additional redeem	cohesion e or habitat importance re or unusual
	diency assessment we accrued 9 or more points to quali	fy; refer to Guidance Note
5) Known threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance		Score & Notes (2) 1x cat recently Felled - reason, who at present = b precent
Part 3: Decis	ion guide	
Any 0 1-6 7-10	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible	Add Scores for Total: Decision:

TPO defensible Definitely merits TPO